

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEAN A. ROBBINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PHILLIPS 66 COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 18-cv-00292-RS (TSH)

**DISCOVERY ORDER**

Re: Dkt. No. 87

On November 9, 2018, Judge Seeborg referred a discovery dispute “and any further discovery disputes” to the undersigned. ECF No. 36. Despite this, today non-party Irwin Industries, Inc., filed a motion to quash a subpoena, noticed for a hearing before Judge Seeborg. ECF No. 87. The motion also violates the undersigned’s Discovery Standing Order, which requires counsel to speak to each other before filing a discovery motion, and which requires discovery disputes to be raised in a joint letter brief of not more than five pages. Irwin Industries’ motion to quash is **DENIED** without prejudice.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 1, 2019

  
THOMAS S. HIXSON  
United States Magistrate Judge